

Bianchi surfaces. Integrability in arbitrary parametrization

Maciej Nieszporski ^{a,b} Antoni Sym ^b

^a*Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK*

^b*Katedra Metod Matematycznych Fizyki, Uniwersytet Warszawski ul. Hoża 74,
00-682 Warszawa, Poland*

Abstract

We discuss integrability of normal field equations of arbitrary parametrised Bianchi surfaces. A novel geometric definition of Bianchi surfaces is presented as well as Bäcklund transformation for the normal field equations in arbitrary chosen surface parametrization.

Key words: integrable systems, Bianchi surfaces, Ernst equation, σ -models, chiral models, harmonic maps, sine-Gordon equation, sinh-Gordon equation
PACS

1 Introduction

In the second half of XIX century Luigi Bianchi had paid attention to transformations of pseudo-spherical surfaces in Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^3 [1]. The transformations had been generalised by Bäcklund [2]. It was then the theory of integrable nonlinear systems began. In the case of the pseudo-spherical surfaces most of the considerations were carried out in asymptotic coordinates and led to transformations of

- Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations that reduce in the case to single equation

$$\phi_{,uv} = \sin \phi$$

and Bäcklund transformation for the sine-Gordon equation appeared in this context first time [3]

- unit normal field (of the surface) equation

$$\vec{n}_{,uv} = f\vec{n} \quad \vec{n} \cdot \vec{n} = 1$$

nowadays termed (hyperbolic) nonlinear σ -model [4]. Vectors as usual denotes functions that take values in the tangent bundle of 3D affine space. The affine space we enrich with (pseudo) scalar product $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} := A_1 B_1 + \varepsilon(A_2 B_2 + A_3 B_3)$ making the space either Euclidean one \mathbb{E}^3 ($\varepsilon = 1$) or Minkowski one \mathbb{M}^3 ($\varepsilon = -1$)

The case of spherical surfaces governed by sinh-Gordon equation (or elliptic nonlinear σ -model) was treated separately in isothermally-asymptotic coordinates [5]. It was Bianchi who generalised the results on pseudo-spherical surfaces to surfaces that Gauss curvature K in asymptotic coordinates is of the form

$$K = -\frac{1}{[U(u) + V(v)]^2}$$

and in consequence Bäcklund transformation for the system

$$\vec{N}_{,uv} = f \vec{N} \quad \vec{N} \cdot \vec{N} = U(u) + V(v) \quad (1)$$

appeared [6,7]. There is a tendency to call underlying surfaces Bianchi surfaces since they were "resurrected" in the papers [8,9], while the system of equations (1) or corresponding Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations (c.f. [9])

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{,uv} + (\frac{r_{,v}s \sin \psi}{2rt})_{,v} + (\frac{r_{,u}t \sin \psi}{2rs})_{,u} - ts \sin \psi &= 0 \\ s_{,v} &= \frac{1}{2r}(t \cos \psi r_{,u} - sr_{,v}) \\ t_{,u} &= \frac{1}{2r}(s \cos \psi r_{,v} - tr_{,u}) \\ r &= U(u) + V(v) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

are both referred to as Bianchi system.

This is only a part of the story. The point is that the nonlinear system (1) has its celebrated place in the contemporary physics literature regardless of Bianchi results. We have already referred to the nonlinear σ -model [4] (or rather their non-isospectral extension see e.g. [9]) often called chiral model or harmonic map (onto a sphere) [10], but also hyperbolic version of the Ernst equation of general relativity (see [11] for elliptic Ernst equation and [12] for hyperbolic one)

$$(\varepsilon + \xi \bar{\xi})(\xi_{,uv} + \frac{r_{,v}}{2r} \xi_{,u} + \frac{r_{,u}}{2r} \xi_{,v}) = 2\bar{\xi} \xi_{,u} \xi_{,v} \quad (3)$$

arises (in case $\varepsilon = -1$) when we normalise the vector field \vec{N} obeying (1) first

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{N}}{\sqrt{r}} \quad r := U(u) + V(v)$$

and then make stereographic projection of the unit vector field \vec{n} onto the complex plane $\xi := \frac{n_2 + i n_3}{1 + n_1}$.

What strikes in above introduction is that although the objects under considerations are purely geometric (surfaces, rectilinear congruences) and most of constructions is of geometric nature (see e.g. Finikov's monograph [13]), whenever integrable phenomena (Bäcklund transformations, permutability theorems etc.) are discussed the authors always confine themselves to particular parametrization of the surface. Both at the beginning of the XX century [14] and in modern theory of integrable systems [15] geometric characterisation of Bianchi surfaces was known but transformations of the surfaces was carried on either in asymptotic parametrization or in isothermally-asymptotic parametrization of the surface.

Moreover the contemporary theory of integrable systems seems to make "parametrisation addiction" even stronger. We are able to indicate only two papers in the contemporary literature that discuss Darboux-Bäcklund transformations of surfaces without referring to a particular parametrization. The first one is about Darboux-Bäcklund transformations for isothermic surfaces [16]. The second one discuss integrability of GMC equations of Bianchi surfaces [17]. Here we concentrate on the normal field equations of Bianchi surfaces instead. The "addiction" to surface parametrization is extremely visible in the so called difference (integrable) geometry [18,19,20] where discretizations of particular nets has been considered so far.

In our opinion restricting to particular parametrization, even though it is convenient and mentioned asymptotic nets are geometrical objects themselves, is not satisfactory. The aim of the paper is to present Bäcklund transformation for a normal field of Bianchi surfaces in arbitrary parametrization.

The essence of the work can be explained in a few sentences. Namely, let a regular surface, with a non-zero Gauss curvature K and unit normal field \vec{n}_0 , is given. Define normal field

$$\vec{\mathcal{N}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{|K|}} \vec{n}_0 \quad (4)$$

By $*$ we denote Hodge dualization with respect to second fundamental form of the surface. Bianchi surface is a surface for which (note we are unifying hyperbolic and elliptic case)

$$*d * d\vec{\mathcal{N}} = f\vec{\mathcal{N}} \quad (5)$$

$$*d * d(\vec{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{N}}) = 0 \quad (6)$$

holds, where f is a scalar function treated as an additional dependent variable. This point of view were prompted by Tafel [15]. The novelty of the paper is introduction of distinguished normal field $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$ and deriving Bäcklund transformations in arbitrary chosen parametrization of the surface.

2 Extended Moutard transformation

Classical Moutard transformation [21] can be extended so that to act on general self-adjoint second order differential equation in two independent variables [22]. Namely, map $\psi \mapsto \psi'$ given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\theta\psi')_{,x} \\ (\theta\psi')_{,y} \end{bmatrix} = \theta^2 \begin{bmatrix} c & b \\ -a & -c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\psi}{\theta}\right)_{,x} \\ \left(\frac{\psi}{\theta}\right)_{,y} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

is the map from solution space of equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^f \psi &= 0 \\ (8) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^f := a\partial_x^2 + b\partial_y^2 + 2c\partial_x\partial_y + (a_{,x} + c_{,y})\partial_x + (b_{,y} + c_{,x})\partial_y - f$$

to solution space of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'\psi' &= 0 \\ (9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}' := a'\partial_x^2 + b'\partial_y^2 + 2c'\partial_x\partial_y + (a'_{,x} + c'_{,y})\partial_x + (c'_{,x} + b'_{,y})\partial_y - f'$$

provided that θ is a solution of eq. (8) (we assume that functions a, b, c are of class C^1 and both function Δ given by

$$\Delta := ab - c^2 \quad (10)$$

and θ obeys condition $\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}; \Delta \neq 0; \theta \neq 0$ as well)

$$(a\theta_{,x} + c\theta_{,y})_{,x} + (b\theta_{,y} + c\theta_{,x}) = f\theta$$

The coefficients of (9) are related to coefficients of (8) by

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= \frac{-a}{\Delta}, & b' &= \frac{-b}{\Delta}, & c' &= \frac{-c}{\Delta}, \\ (11) \quad f' &= \left\{ -\left[\frac{a}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\theta}_{,x} + \frac{c}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\theta}_{,y} \right]_x - \left[\frac{b}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\theta}_{,y} + \frac{c}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\theta}_{,x} \right]_y \right\} \theta \end{aligned}$$

An elementary observation is

$$a'b' - c'^2 = \frac{1}{ab - c^2} \quad (12)$$

So we have

$$\Delta' = \frac{1}{\Delta}$$

and as a result

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta'|}}(a', b', c') = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}}(a, b, c) \quad (13)$$

3 Lelievre formulae

We are considering \mathcal{C}^2 vector valued function $\vec{N} : \mathbb{R}^2 \supset \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_p \mathbb{E}^3(T_p \mathbb{M}^3)$ that obeys

$$(a\vec{N}_{,x} + c\vec{N}_{,y}),_x + (b\vec{N}_{,y} + c\vec{N}_{,x}) = f\vec{N} \quad (14)$$

Cross multiplication by \vec{N} yields

$$[(a\vec{N}_{,x} + c\vec{N}_{,y}) \times \vec{N}],_x + [(b\vec{N}_{,y} + c\vec{N}_{,x}) \times \vec{N}],_y = 0$$

so there exists a potential \vec{r} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{r}_{,x} &= (b\vec{N}_{,y} + c\vec{N}_{,x}) \times \vec{N} \\ \vec{r}_{,y} &= \vec{N} \times (a\vec{N}_{,x} + c\vec{N}_{,y}) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We interpret the potential \vec{r} as position vector of a surface. Then \vec{N} is a vector field normal to the surface, Gauss curvature is given by

$$K = \frac{1}{(\vec{N} \cdot \vec{N})^2(ab - c^2)} \quad (16)$$

and the second fundamental form is

$$II = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta K}} Vol(\vec{n}_0; \vec{n}_{0,x}; \vec{n}_{0,y})(bdx^2 + ady^2 - 2cdxdy) \quad (17)$$

where \vec{n}_0 denotes unit normal field and Vol is the volume form of the space.

4 Bianchi surfaces from extended Moutard transformation

In this section we derive basic formulae defining Bianchi surfaces. We apply Moutard transformation to vector valued function \vec{N} defined in previous section and obeying the self-adjoint equation

$$(a\vec{N}_{,x} + c\vec{N}_{,y}),_x + (b\vec{N}_{,y} + c\vec{N}_{,x}) = f\vec{N} \quad (18)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta\vec{N}'),_x &= \theta^2[c\left(\frac{\vec{N}}{\theta}\right),_x + b\left(\frac{\vec{N}}{\theta}\right),_y] \\ (\theta\vec{N}'),_y &= -\theta^2[a\left(\frac{\vec{N}}{\theta}\right),_x + c\left(\frac{\vec{N}}{\theta}\right),_y] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We define quantities

$$p := \vec{N} \cdot \vec{N}', \quad r := \vec{N} \cdot \vec{N}' \quad r' := \vec{N}' \cdot \vec{N}' \quad (20)$$

so in our notation Gauss curvature (16) (see definition (10)) is

$$K = \frac{1}{r^2 \Delta} \quad (21)$$

and without lost of generality we assume that $r > 0$ in the domain \mathcal{D} . From equations obtained by scalar multiplication of eqs. (19) by \vec{N} and \vec{N}' one can infer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} r'_{,x} - \Delta \frac{1}{2} r_{,x} - c p_{,x} - b p_{,y} + \frac{\theta_{,x}}{\theta} (r' + r \Delta) &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} r'_{,y} - \Delta \frac{1}{2} r_{,y} + a p_{,x} + c p_{,y} + \frac{\theta_{,y}}{\theta} (r' + r \Delta) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Equation defining Bianchi rectilinear congruences (for Bianchi rectilinear congruences see [13,26] and references therein) is

$$r' + r \Delta = 0$$

or in virtue of (12)

$$r' \sqrt{|\Delta'|} + \epsilon r \sqrt{|\Delta|} = 0 \quad (23)$$

i.e. (see eq. (21))

$$K' + \epsilon K = 0 \quad (24)$$

where $\epsilon := \text{sgn}(ab - c^2)$ In presence of constrain (23) formulae (22) take form

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(r \sqrt{|\Delta|})_{,x} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} p_{,x} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} p_{,y} &= 0 \\ \epsilon(r \sqrt{|\Delta|})_{,y} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} p_{,x} - \frac{c}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} p_{,y} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

On eliminating function p and using $r \sqrt{\epsilon \Delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon K}}$ we obtain equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{|K|}} = 0 \quad (26)$$

which characterises, together with eq. (18), Bianchi surfaces.

5 Bianchi surfaces in parametrization free language

One can rewrite the results of our considerations in parametrization independent language. Let \vec{n}_0 denotes unit normal field. Define normal field

$$\vec{N} := \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{|K|}} \vec{n}_0$$

where K is Gauss curvature of our surface. By $*$ we denote Hodge dualization with respect to second fundamental form (17). Equation (26) becomes

$$*d * d(\vec{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{N}}) = 0$$

while equation (18) rewritten in terms of vector field \mathcal{N} takes form

$$*d * d\vec{\mathcal{N}} = \tilde{f}\vec{\mathcal{N}}$$

where $\tilde{f} = f/\sqrt{|\Delta|}$. We come to the proposition:

Proposition 1 *Bianchi surface is surface for which*

$$\begin{aligned} *d * d\vec{\mathcal{N}} &= \tilde{f}\vec{\mathcal{N}} \\ *d * d(\vec{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{N}}) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

holds for the vector normal field

$$\vec{\mathcal{N}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{|K|}}\vec{n}_0$$

where K is Gauss curvature of the surface and \vec{n}_0 is unit field normal to the surface.

6 Orthonormal frame and rotation coefficients

We associate with the surface orthonormal frame

$$(\vec{n}_0, \vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2)$$

where \vec{n}_0 is unit vector field normal to the surface so

$$\vec{n}_0 := \frac{\vec{N}}{\sqrt{r}}$$

We confine ourselves in the paper to the case $\vec{n}_0 \cdot \vec{n}_0 = 1$, $\vec{n}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_1 = \varepsilon$, $\vec{n}_2 \cdot \vec{n}_2 = \varepsilon$ in the domain (so in the case of Minkowski space we assume the normal vector is spatial one).

The motion of the frame is described by formulae

$$\vec{n}_{A,x} = p_A{}^B \vec{n}_B \quad \vec{n}_{A,y} = q_A{}^B \vec{n}_B \tag{28}$$

Since the reper is orthonormal matrices $p_A{}^B$ and $q_A{}^B$ are either $so(3)$ ($\varepsilon = 1$) or $so(1, 2)$ ($\varepsilon = -1$) valued, they are called rotation coefficients.

Compatibility conditions of system (28) read

$$(p_A^C)_{,y} + p_A^B q_B^C = (q_A^C)_{,x} + q_A^B p_B^C \quad (29)$$

Rotation coefficients obey also equations

$$\begin{aligned} & [r(ap_0^\nu + cq_0^\nu)]_{,x} + [r(cp_0^\nu + bq_0^\nu)]_{,y} + \\ & r[ap_0^B p_B^\nu + bq_0^B q_B^\nu + c(p_0^B q_B^\nu + q_0^B p_B^\nu)] = 0 \\ & [(a(\sqrt{r})_{,x} + c(\sqrt{r})_{,y}),_x + (c(\sqrt{r})_{,x} + b(\sqrt{r})_{,y}),_y]/\sqrt{r} + \\ & ap_0^B p_B^0 + bq_0^B q_B^0 + c(p_0^B q_B^0 + q_0^B p_B^0) = f \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

as a consequence of the fact that field \vec{n}_0 is proportional to \vec{N} that satisfies eq. (18).

7 Bäcklund transformation

We decompose the \vec{N}' in the orthonormal basis we described in the previous section

$$\theta \vec{N}' = x^A \vec{n}_A \quad (31)$$

and substitute in the extended Moutard transformation (we use convention that Greek indexes μ and ν goes from 1 to 2 while capital Latin from 0 to 2)

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\theta \vec{N}')_{,x} \\ (\theta \vec{N}')_{,y} \end{bmatrix} = \theta^2 \begin{bmatrix} c & b \\ -a & -c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\vec{N}}{\theta}\right)_{,x} \\ \left(\frac{\vec{N}}{\theta}\right)_{,y} \end{bmatrix} \quad (32)$$

Taking into account that due to (31) function θ can be given in terms of functions x^0 , r and p , namely

$$\theta = x^0 \frac{\sqrt{r}}{p}$$

we obtain that coefficients x^A satisfies linear system

$$\begin{aligned} x^0_{,x} &= \frac{p^2}{p^2 + r^2 \Delta} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{r}{p} c - 1 \right) p_\mu^0 + \frac{r}{p} b q_\mu^0 \right] x^\mu + \frac{r}{p^2} [(c + \frac{r}{p} \Delta) p_{,x} + b p_{,y}] x^0 \right\} \\ x^\mu_{,x} &= -x^A p_A^\mu + \frac{r}{p} (cp_0^\mu + bq_0^\mu) x^0 \\ x^0_{,y} &= -\frac{p^2}{p^2 + r^2 \Delta} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{r}{p} c + 1 \right) q_\mu^0 + \frac{r}{p} a p_\mu^0 \right] x^\mu + \frac{r}{p^2} [(c - \frac{r}{p} \Delta) p_{,y} + a p_{,x}] x^0 \right\} \\ x^\mu_{,y} &= -x^A q_A^\mu - \frac{r}{p} (ap_0^\mu + cq_0^\mu) x^0 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Since the rotation coefficients matrices are $so(3)$ ($so(1, 2)$) valued compatibility conditions of the above linear system consist of (29), (30) and two conditions

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu^0 [ap_{,x} + cp_{,y} - r_{,y} \Delta - \frac{1}{2}r\Delta_{,y} + \\ \frac{r}{p}(ar_{,x} \Delta + cr_{,y} \Delta + \frac{1}{2}ar\Delta_{,x} + \frac{1}{2}cr\Delta_{,y} + p_{,y} \Delta)] + \\ q_\mu^0 [cp_{,x} + bp_{,y} + r_{,x} \Delta + \frac{1}{2}r\Delta_{,x} + \\ \frac{r}{p}(br_{,y} \Delta + cr_{,x} \Delta + \frac{1}{2}br\Delta_{,y} + \frac{1}{2}cr\Delta_{,x} - p_{,x} \Delta)] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{r}{p^2+r^2\Delta} [ap_{,x} + (c - \frac{r}{p}\Delta)p_{,y}] \right\}_{,x} + \left\{ \frac{r}{p^2+r^2\Delta} [(c + \frac{r}{p}\Delta)p_{,x} + bp_{,y}] \right\}_{,y} = 0$$

that are satisfied due to (25). In addition in virtue of definitions (20) and (31) coefficients x^A are subjected to the constraint

$$(x^0)^2(1 + \frac{r^2}{p^2}\Delta) + \varepsilon [(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2] = 0 \quad (35)$$

Fortunately enough the quantity $(x^0)^2(1 + \frac{r^2}{p^2}\Delta) + (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2$ is a first integral of the linear system (33). So one can choose constants of integration so that (35) holds. Therefore we can formulate theorem

Theorem 2 (Transformations between normal fields of Bianchi surfaces)

We assume that the position vector \vec{r} of a Bianchi surface is given so one can find

- (1) its Gauss curvature K ,
- (2) a normal field \vec{N} to the surface in particular unit field normal to the surface \vec{n}_0 and the normal field $\vec{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{|K|}}\vec{n}_0$, we assume

$$Vol(\vec{n}_0; \vec{n}_{0,x}; \vec{n}_{0,y}) \neq 0$$

- (3) functions a , b , and c from the Lelievre formulae (15)
- (4) an orthonormal frame $(\vec{n}_0, \vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2)$ where \vec{n}_1 and \vec{n}_2 fields are tangent to the surface
- (5) rotation coefficient p_A^B , q_A^B through the formulae (28),
- (6) function r given by $r := \vec{N} \cdot \vec{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(ab-c^2)}}$ and then function p integrating the system (25) (with a constant of integration say k).
- (7) solutions (x^0, x^1, x^2) of the system (33) that obey constraint (35)
- (8) the normal field of new Bianchi surface (Bäcklund transform of the field \vec{N})

$$\vec{N}' := \frac{p}{\sqrt{r}} \frac{x^A}{x^0} \vec{n}_A \quad (36)$$

(9) the position vector of the new surface

$$\vec{r}' = \vec{r} + \vec{N} \times \vec{N}' + \vec{c} \quad (37)$$

where \vec{c} is a constant vector.

Since the construction presented here is classical one [7] we confine ourselves to outline of the proof

PROOF.

Ad 6

The system (25) treated as a system on function p is compatible due to the fact normal field \vec{N} satisfies eq. (18) and (26) (constant of integration of the system is spectral parameter!) and does define function p .

Ad 7

System (33) is compatible due to the fact normal field \vec{N} satisfies eq. (18) and (26) and function p is defined through (25)

Ad 8

Proof of the crucial eighth point splits in two parts. Firstly, we define $\theta = x_0 \frac{\sqrt{r}}{p}$ and we verify that \vec{N}' given by (36) is related to \vec{N} through a Moutard transformation (19). It follows that \vec{N}' solves (18) with a function f' and (13) holds. Secondly, since we imposed constraint (35) we get

$$r' := \vec{N}' \cdot \vec{N}' = (c^2 - ab)r$$

and (16) as a result so K' satisfies (26).

Ad 9 We cross multiply formulae (19) by \vec{N} and by \vec{N}' . From the four equations obtained this way we can infer

$$(\vec{r}' - \vec{r} - \vec{N} \times \vec{N}'),_x = 0 = (\vec{r}' - \vec{r} - \vec{N} \times \vec{N}'),_y$$

and therefore (37) holds.

We end paper with two comments. First, we presented the transformation acting on an arbitrary normal field of a Bianchi surface. To have an auto-Bäcklund transformation for a partial differential equation, one has to confine himself

to the distinguished field $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$. In this case we receive Bäcklund transformation for the system

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \vec{\mathcal{N}} = f \vec{\mathcal{N}} \quad (38)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \vec{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{N}} = 0 \quad (39)$$

where A, B, C are given by $(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|ab-c^2|}}(a, b, c)$ so they obey constraint $AB - C^2 = \pm 1$ and are conserved (up to irrelevant sign) under the transformation (due to (13)).

Corollary 3 (Bäcklund transformation for the system (38)-(39))

The transformation given in the theorem 2 applied to the distinguished normal vector field $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$ provide us with auto-Bäcklund transformation for the system (38)-(39) where $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$ and f are dependent variables of the system equations while A, B, C are given functions obeying constraint $AB - C^2 = \pm 1$.

Second, in elliptic case starting from real valued $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$ one obtain pure imaginary vector valued function $\vec{\mathcal{N}}'$. To obtain real solution of the system (27) one has to apply the transformation to $\vec{\mathcal{N}}'$ or alternatively make use of the permutability theorem (nonlinear superposition principle) we end the paper with

Theorem 4 (Permutability theorem) Let a solution $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$ of the system of equations (38)-(39) is given as well as two Bäcklund transforms $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)}$ of $\vec{\mathcal{N}}$ that correspond to constants of integration say k_1 and k_2 of the system (25). Applying the Bäcklund transformation to the solutions $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)}$ and taking constants of integration of (25) as k_2 and k_1 respectively one can find solutions $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(12)}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(21)}$ such that $\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(12)} = \vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(21)}$ and are given by

$$\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(12)} = \epsilon \left[-\vec{\mathcal{N}} + \frac{2(\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)} - \vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)}) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{N}}}{(\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)} - \vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)}) \cdot (\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)} - \vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)})} (\vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(1)} - \vec{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)}) \right] \quad (40)$$

Acknowledgements MN is grateful to Jan Cieśliński and Frank Nijhoff for useful discussions. MN was supported at initial stage of the work by Polish KBN grant 1 P03B 017 28 while at the main stage (starting from 1st April 2005) solely by the European Community under a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship, contract no MEIF-CT-2005-011228.

References

- [1] L. Bianchi, Ricerche sulle superficie a curvatura constante e sulle elicoidi. Tesi di Abilitazione, Annali di Scuola Normale Superiore Pisa **1**, **2** (1879) 285.
- [2] A.V. Bäcklund, Om ytor med konstant negative kröning, Lund Universitets Arsskrif **19**, **6** (1883) 1.
- [3] G. Darboux, Lecons sur la théorie générale des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitésimal, Gautier-Villars, Paris, 1889-94.
- [4] K. Pohlmeyer, Integrable Hamiltonian systems and interactions through quadratic constraints, Comm. Math. Phys. **46** (1976) 207.
- [5] L. Bianchi, Lezioni di geometria differenziale, Vol I, Enrico Spoerri, Pisa (1922).
- [6] L. Bianchi, Sopra alcune nuove classi di superficie e di sistemi tripli ortogonali, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **18** (2) (1890) 301.
- [7] L. Bianchi, Sulle varietà a tre dimensioni deformabili entro lo spazio Euclideo a quattro dimensioni, Mem. Soc. It. Sc. **13** (1905) 261.
- [8] B. Cenkl, Geometric deformations of the evolution-equations and Bäcklund transformations, Physica D **18** (1986) 217.
- [9] D. Levi, A. Sym, Integrable systems describing surfaces of nonconstant curvature Phys. Lett. A **149** (7-8) (1990) 381.
- [10] C.W. Misner, Harmonic maps as models for physical theories, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 4510.
- [11] F.J. Ernst, New formulation of axially symmetric gravitational field problem Phys. Rev. **167** (1968) 1175.
- [12] J.B. Griffiths, Colliding Plane Waves in General Relativity, Oxford: Oxford University Press 1991
- [13] S.P. Finikov, Theory of congruences [in Russian], Moscow-Leningrad, 1950.
- [14] S.S. Byushgens, On cyclic congruences and Bianchi surfaces [in Russian], Matematiseskij Sbornik **30** (1916) 296.
- [15] J. Tafel. Surfaces in $R(3)$ with prescribed curvature J. Geom. Phys. **17** (1995) 381.
- [16] U. Hertrich-Jeromin, F. Pedit, Remarks on Darboux Transforms of Isothermic Surfaces, Doc. Math. J. **2** (1997) 313.
- [17] J.L. Cieśliński, The structure of spectral problems and geometry: hyperbolic surfaces in E^3 , J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 23, 6423.
- [18] R. Sauer, Differenzengeometrie, Springer, Berlin, 1970.

- [19] A.I. Bobenko, R. Seiler (eds.) Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics, Oxford University Press (1999)
- [20] A.I. Bobenko, Yu.B. Suris, Discrete differential geometry. Consistency as integrability, math.DG/0504358
- [21] Th.F. Moutard, Sur la construction des équations de la forme $\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} = \lambda(x, y)$, qui admettent une intégrale général explicite, J. Ec. Pol. **45** (1878) 1.
- [22] M. Nieszporski, P. M. Santini, A. Doliwa, Darboux transformations for 5-point and 7-point self-adjoint schemes and an integrable discretization of the 2D Schrödinger operator, Phys. Lett. A **323** (2004) 241.
- [23] M. Lelievre, Sur les lignes asymptotiques et leur représentation sphérique, Bull. Sci. Mathématiques **12** (1888) 126.
- [24] A.M. Li, K. Nomizu and C.-P. Wang, A generalization of Lelievre's formula, Results in Math **20** (1991) 682.
- [25] A.M. Li, U. Simon and G. Zhao, Global Affine Differential Geometry of Hypersurfaces, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin New York 1993 p57
- [26] M. Nieszporski, A. Sym, Bäcklund transformation for hyperbolic surfaces in E^3 via Weingarten congruences, Theor. Math. Phys. **122** (1) (2000) 84.